

# MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Attorneys at Law 425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105-2482

Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

To:

NAME:	FACSIMILE:	TELEPHONE:
Mail Stop Issue Fee U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	(703) 746-4000	(703) 305-8283

FROM: Robert A. Saltzberg (Reg. No. 36,910)

DATE: January 5, 2005

Number of pages with cover page: 8 Originals will NOT follow.

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct: 10382/cdc5

#### **CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL**

This facsimile contains confidential information which may also be privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not copy, use, or distribute it. If you have received it in error, please advise Morrison & Foerster LLP immediately by telephone or facsimile and return it promptly by mail.

### Contents of this Transmission:

Atty Docket No.: 333772000101

Inventor: Akihiko ITO et al. Application No.: 09/964,211

Filing Date: September 25, 2001

Group Art Unit.: 2133

Examiner: J.C. Kerveros

Title: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE TESTING APPARATUS AND A TEST TRAY FOR USE

IN THE TESTING APPARATUS

#### Documents Filed:

- 1. Transmittal (1 page);
- 2. PTOL-85 w/duplicate copy for fee processing (2 pages); and
- 3. Comments on the Statement of Reasons for Allowance (4 pages).

Comments: Please see the attached documents. Thank you.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is facsimile no. (703) 746-4000, on the date	being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, Mail Stop ISSUE FEE, shown below.  Signature: (Christa Carter)
Dated: January 5, 2005	Signature:(Christa Carter)



Logic by certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the ent and Trademark Office, Mail Stop ISSUE FER, facsimile no. (703) 746-4000, on the date shown below.

Dated: January 5, 2005

3:50PM

Signature:

Docket No.: 333772000101

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Akihiko ITO et al.

Allowed: October 5, 2004

Application No.: 09/964,211

Confirmation No.: 2026

Filed: September 25, 2001

Art Unit 2133

For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE TESTING

Examiner: J. Kerveros

APPARATUS AND A TEST TRAY FOR USE IN THE TESTING APPARATUS

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 CFR \$1.104(E)

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant has received the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance with the October 5, 2004 Notices of Allowance and Allowability regarding the above-identified application. Entry of the Statement into the record should not be construed as any agreement with or acquiescence in the reasoning stated by the Examiner. Each of the claims stands on its own merits and is patentable because of the combination it recites and not because of the presence or absence of any one particular element.

sf-1831682

Application No.: 09/964,211

2

Docket No.: 333772000101

In particular, Applicants respectfully propose that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance would more accurately reflect the current claims if it read as follows:

> "The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fail to teach, anticipate, suggest or render obvious the following inventions recited in the allowed independent claims:

With respect to claim 1, a method for testing devices carried by at least two test trays, wherein each tray carries at least one device, the method comprising: transporting a first tray along a first transport path to a first test position; transporting a second tray along a second transport path to a second test position; testing at least one device on the first tray at the first test position; and testing at least one device on the second tray at the second test position,

With respect to claim 22, a method for testing devices carried by at least two test trays, wherein each tray carries at least one device, the method comprising: transporting a first tray to a first test position; transporting a second tray, in parallel to the first tray, to a second test position; testing at least one device on the first tray at the first test position; and testing at least one device on the second tray at the second test position.

With respect to claim 44, a system for testing devices carried by at least two test trays, wherein each tray carries at least one device, the system comprising: a first transport path for transporting a first tray to a first test position; a second transport path for transporting a second tray to a second test position; and a tester for testing at least one device on the first tray at the first test position, and for testing at least one device on the second tray at the second test position.

Application No.: 09/964,211

3

Docket No.: 333772000101

With respect to claim 65, a system for testing devices carried by at least two test trays, wherein each tray carries at least one device, the system comprising: at least one transport path for transporting a first tray and a second tray in parallel to a first test position and a second test position, respectively; and a tester for testing at least one device on the first tray at the first test position, and for testing at least one device on the second tray at the second test position.

Consequently, independent claims 1, 22, 44 and 65 are allowed over the prior art of record. Claims 2-21, 23-43, 45-64 and 66-87 directly or indirectly depend from the independent claims, and therefore are also allowable."

The above amended Statement more accurately reflects the language of the current claims. For example, the independent claims recite that each test tray carries at least one device, whereas the Examiner's Statement states that, with respect to claims 1 and 44, each test tray carries one device. Also, with respect to claims 1 and 44, the Examiner states that those claims recite a tester, whereas method claim 1 does not explicitly recite a tester.

If the Examiner agrees with the proposed language, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner issue a Supplemental Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

The Examiner's Statement was not prepared by Applicant and only contains the Examiner's possible positions in one or more reasons for allowability. Thus, any interpretation with respect to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be imputed to the Applicant.

In the event the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to <u>Deposit Account No. 03-1952</u> referencing

sf-1831682

Application No.: 09/964,211

4

Docket No.: 333772000101

docket no. <u>333772000101</u>. However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: January 5, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Robert A. Saltzberg

Registration No.: 36,910

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105

(415) 268-6428